United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,223	02/17/2004	Lei Duan	080398.P276D 2362	
8791 7590 10/10/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			SPOONER, LAMONT M	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
• • •	10/781,223	DUAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lamont M. Spooner	2626		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fee This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under En 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	· ·		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)	vn from consideration.	· .		
Application Papers	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's preliminary amendment filed, 2/17/04. Claims 9-13, 22-26, 35, 39, 41, 47 and 48 are currently pending and have been examined. The Examiner acknowledges and has considered the IDS filed 2/17/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 9-13, 22-26, 35, 39, 41, 47 and 48 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang et al. (Chang, US 5,268,840).

As per claims 9, 22, 35, 41, and 47 Chang teaches a method for providing segmentation of an input stream in a character-based language comprising:

creating a plurality of segments from at least two tokens in the input stream based upon a lexical information and lexical functions for the Application/Control Number: 10/781,223

Art Unit: 2626

character-based language (Fig 1 items 400-425, C.12 lines 1-25-his knowledge information and code, as lexical information and functions, Fig. 9); and

generating a connection graph using the plurality of segments (ibidhis connection graph).

As per claims 10, 23, and 48 Chang teaches the method of claim 9, and further teaches compiling lexical grammar rules to generate the lexical functions, the lexical grammar programming language (ibid-his connection action codes).

As per **claims 11 and 24**, Chang teaches the method of claim 10 wherein the lexical grammar rules define connectivity relation of tokens (ibid-his connectivity, C.9 lines 40-48-his validity of connection, Fig. 9).

As per claims 12 and 25, Chang teaches the method of claim 9 further comprising assigning at least one part of speech tag to at least one segment using a lexical dictionary (ibid, Fig. 9, C.7 line 65, C.8 line 58-his part of speech for the segments).

As per **claims 13, 26, and 39**, Chang teaches the method of claim 12 further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/781,223

TOTAL TOTAL

Art Unit: 2626

defining a plurality of paths in the connection graph based upon part of speech tags and the segments (ibid-his plurality of paths based on the connection codes and part of speech, see claim 1);

assigning a cost to each of the plurality of paths (ibid, C.10 lines 3-67-his test/code and failing and successful paths); and

determining at least one best path based upon a corresponding cost to generate an output graph (C.12 lines 13-25).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lamont M. Spooner whose telephone number is 571/272-7613. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on 571/272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lms 9/30/07

PATRICK N. EDOUARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER